

From: Shaw, Jeremy (CPC)
To: Fred Sturner
Bcc: Lesk, Emily (ECN)
Subject: Re: Follow-up Materials
Date: Monday, June 08, 2015 12:04:43 PM

Hi Fred

Thanks for being open to my attending today. Will you have a minute to chat before the meeting? I'll be on campus by 12:30 or so
Feel free to call if easier 415-860-7429
Jeremy

On Jun 4, 2015, at 9:34 AM, Lesk, Emily (ECN) <emily.lesk@sfgov.org> wrote:

Fred,

Thanks so much for all of this. I believe we are still waiting to hear when and where Monday's meeting will be. Can you please send that information our way?

Thanks,

Emily

Emily Lesk

Direct: (415) 554-6162

Email: emily.lesk@sfgov.org

From: Fred Sturner [<mailto:fsturner@ccsf.edu>]
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2015 2:41 PM
To: Lesk, Emily (ECN)
Cc: Martin, Michael (ECN); Shaw, Jeremy (CPC)
Subject: RE: Follow-up Materials

From: Lesk, Emily (ECN) [<mailto:emily.lesk@sfgov.org>]
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2015 1:53 PM
To: Fred Sturner
Cc: Martin, Michael (ECN); Shaw, Jeremy (CPC)
Subject: Follow-up Materials

Hi Fred,

It was great to connect a few minutes ago. We look forward to receiving the follow-up

materials that you mentioned—the masterplan consultant selection schedule, the consultant proposals, and the white paper.

Most pressingly, can you confirm that timing of the consultant selection meetings on June 8 and 18? We understand that it may not be possible for someone from the City to attend on the 18th, but we appreciate your effort to try to make that work.

Thanks,

Emily

Emily Lesk

Project Manager

Office of Economic and Workforce Development

San Francisco City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 448
San Francisco, CA 94102
Direct: (415) 554-6162
Email: emily.lesk@sfgov.org
www.oewd.org

From: Shaw, Jeremy (CPC)
To: Fred Sturner (fsturner@ccsf.edu)
Subject: master planner interviews
Date: Thursday, June 25, 2015 11:39:00 AM

Hi Fred,

I just saw that these interview questions were in my draft box. I thought I sent them.
How did the interviews go??

Jeremy

.

Please provide an example of providing an innovative transportation or access solution to a client.

What did you approach the problem creatively, politically or analytically?

.

What solutions or approach would you propose for a complex and diverse urban neighborhood such as the CCSF Ocean Avenue campus?

.

In a political and academic climate that is very active, how would you engage CCSF campus

planning and transportation as distinct from other CCSF topics? How would you address issues

such as parking, access and neighborhood planning that the surrounding communities continue to

see as a challenge and have discussed for years?

JEREMY SHAW | Planner/Urban Designer | SF PLANNING | 415.575.9135

From: Teague, Corey (CPC)
To: Shaw, Jeremy (CPC)
Cc: Francis, John (CPC)
Subject: RE: IMPs
Date: Tuesday, August 08, 2017 12:58:05 PM

Not too much. They mostly talked about how it would be good to have standard formatting requirements for all IMPs, and then to maybe also have some minimum standards for the type/level

of data included in each IMP. John stated that creating these formatting and substance standards

was or will be on our work program.

Corey A. Teague, AICP, LEED AP
Assistant Zoning Administrator
Planning Department, City and County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-575-9081 Fax: 415-558-6409
Email: corey.teague@sfgov.org
Web: www.sfplanning.org
Planning Information Center (PIC): 415-558-6377 or pic@sfgov.org
Property Information Map (PIM): <http://propertymap.sfplanning.org>

From: Shaw, Jeremy (CPC)
Sent: Tuesday, August 08, 2017 11:20 AM
To: Teague, Corey (CPC)
Cc: Francis, John (CPC)
Subject: IMPs

Hi Corey

Anything significant come about from your IMP presentation that we should be aware of as we work with City College for their facilities master plan update? (yes, they're exempt, but we're encouraging them to come to CPC regardless)

Thanks!

Jeremy

JEREMY SHAW | Planner/Urban Designer | SF PLANNING | 415.575.9135

From: Fred Sturner
To: Shaw, Jeremy (CPC)
Subject: Re: checking in
Date: Friday, August 14, 2015 12:12:07 PM

Coffee, same place Monday?

From: Shaw, Jeremy (CPC) <jeremy.shaw@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 11:14 AM
To: Fred Sturner

Subject: checking in

Hi Fred,

How are things? We should catch up if you have a minute (though I won't be free til Monday).

Also, wondering, does your shop keep data on where students are coming from or any other

location/transportation related data? If not, who would that be?

Jeremy

JEREMY SHAW | Planner/Urban Designer | SF PLANNING | 415.575.9135

1

Lesk, Emily (ECN)

From: Linda Da Silva <ldasilva@ccsf.edu>

Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2016 7:54 PM

To: Lesk, Emily (ECN)

Cc: Shaw, Jeremy (CPC); Francis, John (CPC)

Subject: Re: CPPC Meeting

Hi Emily,

Your inquiry is timely - we just posted the agenda and meeting materials on the Facilities Master Plan website's Advisory Working Group page.

One of the meeting materials links is to the July 28th Board Resolution on the Development of the Balboa Reservoir Property (this is the final, amended resolution that you've been wanting to cite in your housing developer RFQ; I just got it today!). Monday's meeting is 2-6pm at Ocean campus Multi-Use Building Room 140. We did not anticipate a presentation from you (this time) -- but in the second part of the charrette TBP/Architects will be leading the Advisory Working Group through brainstorming and development of options. During that portion, if you notice any ideas developing that would be informed by projects or initiatives that the City is planning, you should

definitely speak up! That would be the benefit of your attendance - that kind of coordination and communication.

As a clarification (and since this is my "day 19", it was just yesterday that I got clear about this): the

meetings/charrettes of the Facilities Master Plan Advisory Working Group (FMP AWG) is the best venue for

City Planning coordination. I previously had cited the CPPC's meetings; CPPC is the core of FMP AWG, with a

few additional individuals to help expand the perspective of CPPC which was tasked by the Board to work on

the facilities master plan. The FMP has been consuming the CPPC's attention.

However, just to share my

newfound clarity on the difference, the CPPC does still meet separate from the FMP AWG to do other more

mundane capital project related things - things that are very internal and wouldn't have City involvement. So

to wrap this up, I'm inviting City Planning to FMP AWG sessions, not CPPC sessions. I hope this makes sense

Linda da Silva

Associate Vice Chancellor, Facilities Planning & Construction

City College of San Francisco

50 Phelan Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94112

ldasilva@ccsf.edu

p 415.239.3495

www.ccsf.edu

From: Lesk, Emily (ECN) <emily.lesk@sfgov.org>

Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2016 3:38:13 PM

To: Linda da Silva

Cc: Shaw, Jeremy (CPC); Francis, John (CPC)

Subject: CPPC Meeting

Hi Linda,

2

Nice to see you on Monday and again on Tuesday this week. I'm following up on Monday's CPPC meeting, with Jeremy and John looped in. Can you clarify exactly what you're looking for us to present? Is there an agenda yet?

Thanks,

Emily

Emily Lesk

Project Manager

Office of Economic and Workforce Development

San Francisco City Hall

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 448

San Francisco, CA 94102

Direct: (415) 554-6162

Email: emily.lesk@sfgov.org

www.oewd.org

From: [Shaw, Jeremy \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Paine, Carli \(MTA\)](#)
Cc: [Lesk, Emily \(ECN\)](#); [Francis, John \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: RE: CCSF study session?
Date: Wednesday, March 01, 2017 11:35:18 AM
Attachments: [Balboa Area TDM - BPS CAC - 012417.pdf](#)

Carli,

Linda got back to us late last night. She wants to include slides I presented at the BPS CAC some time ago (attached are slides, all of which I would update to ensure consistency with what we presented at the BRCAC).

My plan is to update these slides and present with her. Part of our message would be the point we have been making for over a year now – that the FMP should include alternative parking scenarios, that are coordinated with ongoing TDM implementation and monitoring. Perhaps we can discuss this message more after our meeting today?

Jeremy

From: Paine, Carli [<mailto:Carli.Paine@sfmta.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, March 01, 2017 11:11 AM
To: Shaw, Jeremy (CPC); Francis, John (CPC); Lesk, Emily (ECN)
Subject: RE: CCSF study session?

John, will you ask one more time? If she does want us there, we need to know what she expects and have time to prepare.

I personally would not be sad to forgo a night meeting, but absolutely want to have our input be valuable if they want us to participate.

Carli Paine

Land Use Development and Transportation Integration Manager
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency
1 South Van Ness, 7th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103
415-646-2502



SFMTA
Municipal
Transportation
Agency

Find us on: [Facebook](#) [Twitter](#) [YouTube](#)

From: Shaw, Jeremy (CPC) [<mailto:jeremy.shaw@sfgov.org>]
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2017 4:37 PM
To: Paine, Carli; Francis, John (CPC); Lesk, Emily
Subject: RE: CCSF study session?

On Mar 22, 2017, at 20:11, Shaw, Jeremy (CPC) <jeremy.shaw@sfgov.org> wrote:
Hi Linda,
Sounds like you have a ton going on. If we don't get the chance to talk, I wanted to be sure to respond to your email.
Your sense is right, there was the expectation that the Planning Commission would see more than the high-level, Ocean Campus graphic. Presenting just that graphic will invite more questions than it answers. And yes, it was assumed the presentation would be "informational" and that City College would vote to exempt themselves from Planning Commission approval, as per code. (Without the Trustees voting to exempt, the FMP will have to go to the Commission for formal approval.)
However, even informational agenda items require staff review, a summary memo and presentation to the Commission (due by March 30, in this case). We are really proud to have been working with you! The hope was that a Commission presentation would be the one formal opportunity with the City to recognize that partnership. And since so many of our challenges must be addressed in partnership, it would be valuable to address the Commission before CCSF moves forward to approve the Plan. But if the consultant doesn't hasn't given you a draft then we have a challenge. I believe John looked for alternative dates, and this was the final remaining opportunity. How firm is BoT review on May 11th?
I am around Thursday if you want to chat, 12-3 works best.
Thanks
Jeremy
P.S. ALSO: Can you tell me whether I should attend this week's BoT meeting? I was planning to, but I don't see the FMP on the agenda.

From: Shaw, Jeremy (CPC)
Sent: Wednesday, March 22, 2017 7:44 AM
To: Linda Da Silva
Subject: Re: FMP at Planning Commission
Thanks Linda. Yes please call me this morning .
// Sent from the field //
JEREMY SHAW | Planner/Urban Designer | SF PLANNING | 415.575.9135

On Mar 21, 2017, at 10:12 PM, Linda Da Silva <ldasilva@ccsf.edu> wrote:
Hi Jeremy,
Actually, the "busy prepping for BoT Thursday" occurs in the weeks ahead of the Board meeting. That plus some facilities-related drama

and crisis have left little time for me to catch a breath until now, here at 10pm. My apologies to you and John for the lack of communication.

When you and I spoke in early March, I got the feeling that if I were to present the CCSF FMP to the Planning Commission, there'd be an expectation that there would be something more substantive than the single Ocean Avenue graphic that we at this point continue to tweak. Our facilities master planner tBP Architects is drafting the FMP narrative for CCSF review/notes; so far we have seen the TOC and introduction. We will be very busy in the coming weeks through end of April getting to the "final draft" stage that I need to bring to the Board of Trustees at their May 11th meeting for feedback, and then the "final recommendation" for their approval at the May 25 meeting.

When I agreed to bring our FMP to the Planning Commission in early April, I was under the impression it was more as an informal information item on our planning process, timeline and status. I was not aware that the Commissioners would be providing constructive feedback on CCSF's FMP. Can we discuss via telephone at 11:45am tomorrow?

Linda da Silva

Associate Vice Chancellor, Facilities

City College of San Francisco

50 Phelan Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94112

ldasilva@ccsf.edu

p 415.239.3495

c 650.642.7143

www.ccsf.edu/facilities

From: Shaw, Jeremy (CPC) <jeremy.shaw@sfgov.org>

Sent: Tuesday, March 21, 2017 5:50:32 PM

To: Linda Da Silva

Subject: RE: FMP at Planning Commission

Hi Linda

Just wanted to follow up on this. Do you have any thoughts on presenting to the Planning Commission?

Also, I imagine you're busy prepping for the BOT Thursday. If you don't have time to talk before then, I understand. I don't see the FMP on the agenda, can you confirm that the FMP will not be presented this Thursday?

Thanks

Jeremy

From: Francis, John (CPC)
Sent: Thursday, March 16, 2017 4:10 PM
To: Linda Da Silva
<image003.png>
Cc: Exline, Susan (CPC); Shaw, Jeremy (CPC); Lesk, Emily (ECN)
Subject: FMP at Planning Commission
Importance: High

Hi Linda,

I have not been able to reach you for the last couple weeks and wanted to reach out again because I am concerned about where we are in terms of preparation for the FMP presentation to the Planning Commission on April 6th. Providing the Commission a thorough update on the proposed FMP is a critical step in the collaborative effort between City College and the City to ensure that the FMP meets the needs of all stakeholders, including the CCSF community and the College's Ocean Campus neighbors. At this point, the only substantive work describing the FMP that has been made public is a high level site plan that leaves undefined a number of critical issues, particularly related to parking and vehicular access. My concern is that such a high level overview of the FMP will not provide the Commission with enough information to be able to provide constructive feedback on the Plan. Unfortunately, given your aggressive goal of BOT adoption of the FMP by the end of May, this will likely be the only opportunity for the Commission to weigh in.

As City staff has noted many times, we are committed to supporting the mission and goals of City College and see our role in collaborating with you on the FMP process as a crucial part of that effort. As such, while we still have concerns about specific elements of the FMP that we have seen thus far, we want to make sure that your presentation to the Commission is both productive and well-received.

Toward this end, it would be appreciated if you could provide a status update on the FMP draft and what elements will be ready in time for transmittal to the Commission by March 30. I am out of the office starting tomorrow 3/17 and will return on 3/27—during that time, I would ask you to be in touch with Jeremy Shaw in order to provide an update and to coordinate the overall shape of the Commission presentation.

Thanks and I look forward to hearing from you.

John

John M. Francis

Planner & Urban Designer, Citywide Planning Division

415-575-9147 | john.francis@sfgov.org

SF

Planning

Department

1650 Mission Street, Suite 400

San Francisco, CA 94103

<image001.png>

From: Francis, John (CPC)
Sent: Monday, March 13, 2017 3:08 PM
To: 'Linda Da Silva'
Cc: Exline, Susan (CPC)
Subject: RE: Follow ups for City College data and analysis

Hi Linda,

Just following up again on prep timeline for the Planning Commission hearing on April 6th. In order for the City to be able to review the draft plan, write up comments, and submit them to the Planning Commission by the March 30th PC packet deadline we'll need to receive materials from City College by this week. Do you anticipate having a draft to share by then? Otherwise, we'll just have to rely on the latest plan map (attached) as our basis for feedback, and hopefully you'll be able to share more details as part of your informational presentation at the PC hearing. Please let me know your plans as soon as you can.

Thanks,

John

John M. Francis
Planner & Urban Designer, Citywide Planning Division
415-575-9147 | john.francis@sfgov.org
SF

Planning

Department

1650 Mission Street, Suite 400

San Francisco, CA 94103

From: Francis, John (CPC)

Sent: Friday, March 03, 2017 12:01 PM

To: 'Linda Da Silva'

Subject: RE: Follow ups for City College data and analysis

Hi Linda,

Thanks for your message. I suggest you and I have a check up via phone early next week—would you have some time on Tuesday? My day is fairly open right now other than 9-10am and 12:30-1pm.

In the meantime, do you have a schedule of when the draft plan will be released and ready for review? I'm just thinking about our timeline for the Planning Commission hearing on April 6th and want to make sure we

<image007.png>
have enough time to review and digest at least a draft of the document by then. Also note, I will need to send a letter to the Commission the week prior (3/30) giving an overview of the Plan and our Planning process. Thanks and hope your week down south has been enjoyable!

Best,

John

John M. Francis

Planner & Urban Designer, Citywide Planning Division
415-575-9147 | john.francis@sfgov.org
SF
Planning
Department
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94103

From: Linda Da Silva [mailto:ldasilva@ccsf.edu]
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2017 10:37 PM
To: Francis, John (CPC)
Subject: Re: Follow ups for City College data and analysis
Hi John,

First, I want to apologize for my early departure from our last meeting on February 16th; unfortunately, I had to return to the Ocean Campus for a Participatory Governance Council meeting. I am sensing a slight disconnect on our collaboration efforts. For the time I was in our last CCSF/City agency workshop on February 16th, I was disappointed with the level of engagement. CCSF is in facilities master planning mode right now, which is at the highest level of facilities planning in which we operate. Our intent with the access workshops with City agencies is to tease out the possibilities for improved pedestrian, bicycle and vehicular routes along Ocean and Phelan primarily, but also Judson and Havelock. My facilities master planning consultants are not designing solutions, they are not contractually engaged at a project implementation level. So they are pushing back on providing the kind of detailed traffic analysis and data that MTA is currently requesting - and I can understand their position. I'm also not planning to augment their contract to allow them to drill down to that level of detail, since we are in master planning mode.

When we began discussing the approach to joint collaboration on access planning, my team and I were concerned about whether MTA had a planner operating at a broad enough level to resonate with the high level master planning CCSF is undertaking. It seems that MTA has multiple individuals working on distinct aspects - but that there is not an overall regional or area "planner" who has all the pieces and is visioning at a master planning level as is CCSF. I'm in Asilomar at a workshop this week, very busy schedule from breakfast through 9pm each day. I will telephone tomorrow during a break to reach you in real time to discuss further.

Linda da Silva
Associate Vice Chancellor, Facilities
City College of San Francisco
50 Phelan Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94112

ldasilva@ccsf.edu

p 415.239.3495

c 650.642.7143

www.ccsf.edu/facilities

From: Francis, John (CPC) <john.francis@sfgov.org>

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2017 4:48:55 PM

To: rsanzo@sandis.net

Cc: Linda Da Silva; PNewsom@tbparchitecture.com

Subject: RE: Follow ups for City College data and analysis

Ron, Linda, and Phil,

Following up on this, as the March 9 CCSF/City Staff joint presentation to the CCSF BOT is just around the corner. Please respond with your availability to have a follow up on the Ocean Ave access workshops with MTA staff.

Thanks,

John

John M. Francis

Planner & Urban Designer, Citywide Planning Division

415-575-9147 | john.francis@sfgov.org

SF Planning

Department

1650 Mission Street, Suite 400

San Francisco, CA 94103

From: Francis, John (CPC)

Sent: Friday, February 24, 2017 1:29 PM

To: 'rsanzo@sandis.net'

Cc: 'Linda Da Silva'; 'Phil Newsom (PNewsom@tbparchitecture.com)'

Subject: RE: Follow ups for City College data and analysis

Ron, can you confirm receipt of materials from MTA and your availability for a conference call next Friday?

Thanks,

John

John M. Francis

Planner & Urban Designer, Citywide Planning Division

415-575-9147 | john.francis@sfgov.org

SF Planning

Department

1650 Mission Street, Suite 400

San Francisco, CA 94103

From: Francis, John (CPC)

Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2017 4:15 PM

To: 'rsanzo@sandis.net'

Subject: FW: Follow ups for City College data and analysis

Ron, it sounds like some of the core MTA people are available on 3/3 after 3pm. Would that work for you?

John M. Francis

Planner & Urban Designer, Citywide Planning Division
415-575-9147 | john.francis@sfgov.org

SF Planning

Department

1650 Mission Street, Suite 400

San Francisco, CA 94103

From: Shahamiri, James [mailto:James.Shahamiri@sfmta.com]

Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2017 4:04 PM

To: Francis, John (CPC)

Subject: RE: Follow ups for City College data and analysis

Hi John,

Carli, Tony and I are available Friday 3/3 after 3:00.

Thanks.

James

From: Francis, John (CPC) [mailto:john.francis@sfgov.org]

Sent: Wednesday, February 22, 2017 9:58 PM

To: rsanzo@sandis.net

Cc: Shaw, Jeremy <Jeremy.Shaw@sfgov.org>; Linda Da Silva
<ldasilva@ccsf.edu>; Phil Newsom (PNewsom@tbparchitecture.com)

<PNewsom@tbparchitecture.com>; ce_bchin@ccsf.edu; Henderson,

Tony <Tony.Henderson@sfmta.com>; Katz, John

<John.Katz@sfmta.com>; Shahamiri, James

<James.Shahamiri@sfmta.com>; Hunter, Mari E

<Mari.Hunter@sfmta.com>

Subject: FW: Follow ups for City College data and analysis

Hi Ron,

Attached please find data from SFMTA collected in 2015 for the LWHS study as well as the signal timing cards for the Geneva/Howth and Ocean/Howth intersections.

In terms of your analysis, from a transit point of view, MTA would be interested in seeing the following items:

- LOS/Delay and queuing of existing conditions at the three intersections
- Trip generation/assignment based on their anticipated garage size/placement
- LOS/Delay and queuing for existing conditions plus the traffic generated by the new garages for existing lane/turning configurations
 - o If they want to propose any modifications to lane/turning configurations we would like to see the associated operational analysis
 - o If an eastbound left-turn lane is to be proposed at Ocean/Howth, they would need to assume it's a dedicated left-turn lane with protected signal phasing
 - o If they want to propose changing the signal cycle length it should be no more than 110 seconds.

Please let me know if you need further information or would like me to set up/facilitate a call with the MTA team to discuss further. That said, I do think a core group of us should plan to touch base next week to discuss the output of your analysis. Ron and MTA folks, please send me a note back indicating your availability for a call next Friday, 3/3.

Thanks,

John

John M. Francis

Planner & Urban Designer, Citywide Planning Division

415-575-9147 | john.francis@sfgov.org

SF Planning

Department

1650 Mission Street, Suite 400

San Francisco, CA 94103

From: Henderson, Tony [<mailto:Tony.Henderson@sfmta.com>]

Sent: Friday, February 17, 2017 10:41 AM

To: Shaw, Jeremy (CPC); Francis, John (CPC); Shahamiri, James (MTA)

Cc: Katz, John (MTA); Hunter, Mari (MTA)

Subject: RE: Follow ups for City College data and analysis

Hi Jeremy – Thanks for putting this together. I looked through our records and found that counts were collected in 2015 for the LWHS study, which I've attached. Also attached are the signal timing cards for the Geneva/Howth and Ocean/Howth intersections. This data should give City College's consultant a good starting point to set up operational analysis for the two intersections that they can use to test scenarios.

Thanks,

Tony

From: Francis, John (CPC)
To: [Linda Da Silva](#)
Cc: [Shaw, Jeremy \(CPC\)](#); [Exline, Susan \(CPC\)](#); [Paine, Carli \(MTA\)](#); [Shahamiri, James \(MTA\)](#); [Lesk, Emily \(ECN\)](#); jhamilton@ccsf.edu
Subject: CCSF FMP Status?
Date: Tuesday, May 30, 2017 12:17:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)

Hi Linda,

I hope this email finds you well! I wanted to check in to find out if you have an update on when CCSF will have a draft of the FMP to share with the City Family. When we spoke last it sounded like your consultants were on a June/July timeline for completing the draft and I wanted to see if that is still the plan. If so, do you have a more precise estimate for draft completion? Because the Planning Commission calendar is often booked 2-3 months out, it would be great to get a sense of your timing so that we can make sure to get on the PC calendar at the proper time. I will go ahead and cancel the Monday 6/5 CCSF/City monthly coordination meeting; do you think we'll be in a place where we should meet in July?

Thanks,
John

From: Shaw, Jeremy (CPC)
To: Francis, John (CPC)
Subject: RE: Ccsf today
Date: Monday, August 28, 2017 12:17:45 PM
I talked to Linda this morning, who I believe also left a voicemail for you regarding the agenda.
I downloaded it from FMP site only after talking to her, not quite grasping the severity of the language.
It's not clear to me how likely this is to move forward.
From: Francis, John (CPC)
Sent: Monday, August 28, 2017 12:16 PM
To: Shaw, Jeremy (CPC)
Subject: Re: Ccsf today
Have you talked to Linda? Who sent it to you?
Sent from my iPhone
On Aug 28, 2017, at 11:59, Shaw, Jeremy (CPC) <jeremy.shaw@sfgov.org> wrote:
FMP working group.
Or at least a subset of it.
From: Francis, John (CPC)
Sent: Monday, August 28, 2017 11:57 AM
To: Shaw, Jeremy (CPC)
Subject: Re: Ccsf today
Is that a resolution from the FMP working group or some other body?
Sent from my iPhone
On Aug 28, 2017, at 11:53, Shaw, Jeremy (CPC) <jeremy.shaw@sfgov.org>

wrote:

Yah.

Apparently a late addition to the agenda ... see attached.

From: Francis, John (CPC)

Sent: Monday, August 28, 2017 11:46 AM

To: Shaw, Jeremy (CPC)

Subject: Re: Ccsf today

No I'm out today. Is there a working group meeting?

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 28, 2017, at 11:33, Shaw, Jeremy (CPC)

<jeremy.shaw@sfgov.org> wrote:

Are you going to FMP meeting today?

// Sent from the field //

JEREMY SHAW | Planner/Urban Designer | SF

PLANNING | 415.575.9135

<Item 4.h FacComm Draft Resolution.pdf>